A retrospective, multicenter study on the efficacy, durability, and tolerability of bictegravir/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (BIC/FTC/TAF) for the treatment of HIV in a real-world setting in Belgium

Rakan Nasreddine¹, Eric Florence², Jean Cyr Yombi³, Sophie Henrard⁴, Gilles Darcis⁵, Jens Van Praet⁶, Linos Vandekerckhove⁷, Sabine D. Allard⁸, Rémy Demeester⁹, Peter Messiaen¹⁰, Nathalie Ausselet¹¹, Marc Delforge¹, Stéphane De Wit¹, on behalf of the Belgian Research on AIDS and HIV Consortium (BREACH) ¹Saint-Pierre University Hospital, Brussels, Belgium, ²Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium, ³Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Brussels, Belgium, ⁴University Clinics of Brussels – Erasme Hospital, Brussels, Belgium, ⁵Liège University Hospital, Liège, Belgium, ⁶AZ Sint-Jan Brugge-Oostende, Brugge, Belgium, ⁷Ghent University Hospital, Ghent, Belgium, ⁸Universitair

Ziekenhuis Brussel, Brussels, Belgium, ⁹University Hospital of Charleroi, Lodelinsart, Belgium, ¹⁰Jessa Hospital, Hasselt, Belgium, ¹¹UCL University Hospital Namur-Godinne, Yvoir, Belgium

10th Symposium of the Belgian Research on AIDS and HIV Consortium - November 2022 – La Hulpe, Belgium



Background

- Several RCTs have demonstrated BIC/FTC/TAF to be a first-line option for treatment-naïve and experienced PLWH [1-4].
- Real-world studies provide complementary information to RCTs and ensure that those results can be generalized to broader populations seen in daily practice.
- The aim of this study was to describe the Belgian HIV population treated with BIC/FTC/TAF and to evaluate its efficacy, durability, and tolerability in a real-world setting.

Study Design

- This was an observational, retrospective, multicenter study. Data were gathered from routine practice at 11 participating HIV reference centers in Belgium which work in concert as members of the Belgium Research on AIDS and HIV Consortium (BREACH).
- Inclusion criteria were treatment-naïve and experienced adult (aged ≥18 years) PLWH that received at least 1 dose of BIC/FTC/TAF between January 1, 2019, which corresponds to the date that BIC/FTC/TAF was approved for use in Belgium, and September 30, 2020.
- The primary outcome of this study was effectiveness of BIC/FTC/TAF, measured by the proportion of participants with a plasma HIV-1 VL <50 copies/ml at weeks 24 and 48 using an on-treatment analysis (on treatment, in follow-up, and with available data).
- Secondary endpoints included:
- (i) Proportion of patients that experienced protocol-defined loss of virologic suppression by week 48 (defined as 2 consecutive HIV-1 VL measurements of >200 copies/mL in individuals who had initially achieved virologic suppression) along with an analysis of RAMs at the time of loss of virologic control
- (ii) Safety and tolerability of BIC/FTC/TAF as assessed by the rate, incidence, reasons, and time to discontinuation of treatment over the 48-week study period
- (iii) Overall change in weight along with the proportion of patients reporting a 5-10% and >10% weight gain at week 48
- (iv) Proportion of patients that experienced a viral blip at any time up to week 24 and up to week 48 (defined as one HIV-1 VL measurement between 50 - 200 copies/mL after having initially achieved virologic suppression)
- (v) Change in CD4⁺ cell count and CD4⁺/CD8⁺ ratio at weeks 24 and 48
- (vi) Change in lipid and glycemic parameters at weeks 24 and 48

Results

Study population

• A total of 2001 patients met the criteria for inclusion in this study with a median (IQR) follow-up time of 89.3 (65.6 – 110.4) weeks.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population.

	Overall (N = 2001)
Age (years), n (%)	
< 50	1184 (59.2)
≥50	817 (40.8)
Gender, n (%) Male	1299 (64.9)
Female	702 (35.1)
Ethnicity, n (%)	702 (33.1)
Caucasian	1145 (57.2)
Black Sub-Saharan African	646 (32.3)
Other	146 (7.3)
Unknown	64 (3.2)
Weight (kg)	76 (67 07)
Median (IQR) Data not available, n (%)	76 (67 – 87) 283 (14.1)
Co-morbidities, n (%)	203 (14.1)
Diabetes mellitus	76 (3.8)
Coronary heart disease	16 (0.8)
Non-AIDS-defining malignancy	68 (3.4)
Chronic renal disease	3 (0.1)
Data not available	470 (23.5)
Co-infections	00 (4.7)
HBV co-infection	93 (4.7)
HCV co-infection Data not available	82 (4.1) 617 (30.8)
HIV acquisition, n (%)	017 (30.8)
MSM	884 (44.2)
Heterosexual	866 (43.3)
Other	80 (4)
Unknown	171 (8.5)
HIV treatment status, n (%)	100 (00 4)
Treatment-naïve	408 (20.4)
Treatment-experienced INSTI-experienced	1593 (79.6) 1108 (55.4)
Prior AIDS defining illness, n (%)	510 (25.5)
Nadir CD4 ⁺ T-cell count (cells/μL)	010 (20.0)
Median (IQR)	308 (157 – 492)
Data not available, n (%)	51 (2.5)
Total time on cART prior to baseline (years)	2 (2 2
Median (IQR) Number of cART regimens prior to baseline	6 (0.9 – 12.3)
Median (IQR)	2 (1 – 4)
ARV resistance data available, n (%)	387 (19.3)
EFV resistance	53 (13.7)
3TC/FTC resistance	39 (10.1)
ABC resistance	37 (9.6)
RPV resistance	34 (8.8)
DRV resistance TDF resistance	24 (6.2) 18 (4.7)
EVG/RAL resistance	9 (2.3)
Most common cART regimen prior to baseline, n (%)	5 (2.5)
EVG/c/FTC/TAF	399 (19.9)
DTG + FTC/TAF	241 (12)
EFV/FTC/TDF	92 (4.6)
DRV/c/FTC/TAF	80 (4)
DTG + FTC/TDF HIV-1 viral load (copies/mL), n (%)	59 (2.9)
<50	1363 (68.1)
50 – 199	105 (5.3)
200 – 499 999	455 (22.7)
≥500 000	78 (3.9)
CD4 ⁺ T-cell count (cells/μL)	
Median (IQR)	567 (367 – 793)
CD4 ⁺ T-cell count (cells/μL), n (%) <350	410 (21)
350 – 499	418 (21) 327 (16.3)
≥500	1063 (53.1)
Data not available	193 (9.6)
CD4+/CD8+ ratio	` ,
Median (IQR)	0.7 (0.4 – 1.1)
Data not available, n (%)	741 (37)
IOR interquartile range: HRV henatitis R virus: HCV henatitis C virus: MS	CNA many units and a district of the many INICTL interpretation of

IQR, interquartile range; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; MSM, men who have sex with men; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; cART, combined antiretroviral therapy; ARV, antiretroviral; EFV, efavirenz; 3TC, lamivudine; FTC, emtricitabine; ABC, abacavir; RPV, rilpivirine; DRV, darunavir; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; EVG, elvitegravir; RAL, raltegravir; C, cobicistat; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; DTG, dolutegravir.

Virologic suppression

• At week 48, 93.5% of the overall cohort maintained virologic suppression (on-treatment analysis).

Table 2. Rates of virologic suppression for the overall study population and various sub-groups at weeks 24 and 48.

		Week 24	Week 48		
	N	Rate of virologic suppression (%)	N	Rate of virologic suppression (%)	
All participants	1611	92	1361	93.5	
Age (years)					
<50	939	91.7	785	94	
≥50	672	92.6	576	92.7	
Gender					
Male	1061	91.6	885	93.8	
Female	550	92.9	476	92.8	
MSM	725	94.3	624	94.7	
Black Sub-Saharan African	496	89.5	411	90.8	
IIV treatment status					
Naïve	363	87.5	300	94	
Experienced	1248	93.4	1061	93.2	
INSTI-experienced	918	93.8	734	93.9	
Total time on cART prior to baseline (years)					
	1091	91.4	913	93.5	
>6	520	93.5	448	93.3	
Number of cART regimens prior to baseline					
≤2	693	91.6	566	93.9	
>2	918	93.3	795	93.3	
aseline resistance					
EFV resistance	39	94.9	39	89.7	
3TC/FTC resistance	33	84.8	30	83.3	
ABC resistance	30	90	22	86.4	
RPV resistance	32	87.5	26	88.5	
DRV resistance	32	96.6	25	88	
TDF resistance	17	94.1	14	78.6	
EVG/RAL resistance	6	83.3	3	66.7	
Regimen prior to baseline	ŭ	55.5	J	55.7	
ABC-containing	195	92.8	153	92.2	
TDF-containing	249	88.8	229	91.7	
TAF-containing	791	94.6	638	94.5	
RPV-containing	63	93.7	60	92.8	
EFV-containing	92	89.1	74	89.2	
DTG-containing	431	92.6	358	93.6	
Baseline HIV-1 viral load (copies/mL)	131	32.0	333	33.0	
<50	1098	97.2	921	96.7	
≥50	513	81.1	440	86.6	
Baseline CD4+ count (cells/μL)	313	01.1	7-70	00.0	
<350	379	81.5	308	86.8	
350 – 499	264	93.6	222	94.6	
≥500	968	95.3	831	95.7	

N, number of participants on treatment, in follow-up, and with available data; MSM, men who have sex with men; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor cART, combined antiretroviral therapy; EFV, efavirenz; 3TC, lamivudine; FTC, emtricitabine; ABC, abacavir; RPV, rilpivirine; DRV, darunavir; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; EVG, elvitegravir; RAL, raltegravir; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; DTG, dolutegravir.

Viral blips and loss of virologic suppression

- Viral blips were detected in 29 (1.4%) patients over the 48-week study period.
- Fourteen (0.7%) participants met the protocol-defined criteria for loss of virologic suppression and the occurrence of a viral blip prior to loss of virologic control was observed in 3/14 patients overall.
- At the time of loss of virologic suppression, one patient (patient 14) who had an HIV subtype CRF06-cpx, had mutations associated with resistance to NRTIs (184V) and INSTIs (263KR), both of which were not present on resistance testing prior to baseline.

Table 3. Characteristics of the 14 participants with loss of virologic suppression by week 48.

P	Age	Gender/	cART directly prior	RAMs prior	VL at baseline	Number of viral blips	Time to LVS	VL at time of	RAMs at LVS
		Ethnicity	to baseline	to baseline	(copies/mL)	prior to LVS,	(weeks)	LVS	
						(copies/mL)		(copies/mL)	
1	51	M/C	DRV/c/FTC/TAF	NDA	101	Once, 168	36	218	NDAa
2	34	F/SSA	FTC/TAF + NVP	NDA	<50	None	48	397	NRTI: 67N, 70R, 184V
3	27	M/SSA	DTG/ABC/3TC	NDA	15300	None	48	1188	None
4	22	M/SSA	Naïve	None	<50	None	48	588000	NDA
5		F/SSA	DRV/c/FTC/TAF	None	1080	None	48	21000	NDA
6	46	F/C	DTG/ABC/3TC	NDA	12600	None	16	418	None
7	45	M/SSA	DRV/c/FTC/TAF	None	<50	None	27	385	NDA
8	55	F/SSA	ABC/3TC + DRV/r	NRTI: 184V INSTI: 66A, 92G	<50	None	32	578	NDA
9	51	M/SSA	Naïve	None	5152	None	17	2154	None
10	55	M/SSA	DTG + FTC/TAF	None	<50	Once, 77	37	457	None
11	31	M/C	Naïve	None	30233	None	42	11130	NDA
12	52	M/C	DTG + FTC/TAF	None	<50	None	48	22700	None
13	34	M/SSA	DRV/c/FTC/TAF	None	<50	None	48	89200	None
14	42	M/SSA	Naïve	None	932	Once, 182	48	836	NRTI: 184V; INSTI: 263KR

P, patient; cART, combined antiretroviral therapy; RAMs, resistance-associated mutations; VL, viral load; LVS, loss of virologic suppression; M, male; C, Caucasian; DRV/c, darunavir/cobicistat; FTC/TAF, emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide; NDA, no data available; F, female; SSA, black Sub-Saharan African; NVP, nevirapine; NRTI, nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitor; DTG, dolutegravir; ABC/3TC, abacavir/lamivudine; DRV/r, darunavir/ritonavir; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor. ^aViral load was below the required minimum to accurately perform resistance testing.

Treatment discontinuation

- Overall, 131 (6.5%) participants discontinued their treatment over the 48-week study period corresponding to an incidence of 7.4 discontinuations per 100 patient-years.
- Multivariable logistic regression analysis using baseline variables described in Table 1 showed that CNS/psychiatric toxicity resulting in the discontinuation of a previous cART regimen was significantly associated with discontinuation of BIC/FTC/TAF due to CNS/psychiatric toxicity (odds ratio [OR] 4.64; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.24 – 17.38, p = 0.03).

Table 4. Reasons and time to treatment discontinuation over the

48-week study period.	
Total number of discontinuations, (%)	131 (6.5)
Reasons for discontinuation, n (%)	
Neuropsychiatric adverse event	8 (0.4)
Gastrointestinal adverse event	8 (0.4)
Other adverse events	32 (1.6)
Switch to a two-drug regimen	26 (1.3)
Pregnancy (existing or intended)	7 (0.3)
Loss of virologic suppression	3 (0.1)
Other causes	19 (0.9)
Data not available	28 (1.4)
Time to discontinuation due to any reason (weeks)	
Median (IQR)	24.9 (8.4 – 35.1)
Time to discontinuation due to an adverse event (weeks)	
Median (IQR)	22.5 (4.7 – 35.3)
Time to discontinuation due to neuropsychiatric toxicity	
(weeks)	18.1 (4.3 – 26.6)
Median (IQR)	

Change in weight

IQR, inter-quartile range

- At week 48, the median (IQR) on-treatment weight gain was 2 kg (-1-5) for the overall study population, which corresponds to a median percent change from baseline of 2.6%.
- Logistic regression analysis using variables described in Table 1 revealed that being on a TDF-based regimen prior to BIC/FTC/TAF initiation (odds ratio [OR] 2.29; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.31 – 4, p = 0.006) and having a baseline CD4⁺ count <350 cells/ μ L (OR 6.12; 95% CI 3.48 – 10.77, p < 0.001) were associated with a >10% weight gain.

Table 5. Change in weight at week 48 along with the proportion of patients experiencing 5-10% and >10% increase in weight from baseline for the study cohort and various sub-groups

		Cha	nge in weight (kg) from	n baseline
	N	Median (IQR)	5-10% increase from baseline	>10% increase from baseline
All patients	741	2 (-1 – 5)	19.2	11.6
Age (years)				
<50	435	2 (0 – 5)	20	13.6
≥50	306	1 (-1 – 4)	18	8.8
Gender				
Male	487	1 (-1 – 3)	17.9	12.2
Female	254	2 (0 – 5)	21.2	10.6
MSM	334	1 (-1 – 5)	20.1	8.7
SSA	250	2 (0 – 5)	19.6	12
HIV treatment status at baseline				
Naïve	160	3 (0 – 8)	21.3	25.6
Experienced	581	1(-1-4)	17.8	7.6
INSTI-experienced	412	1 (-1 – 4)	17.4	7.2
Total time on cART prior to baseline (years)				
≤6	475	2 (0 – 5)	19.6	11.5
>6	266	1(-1-4)	18.4	10.9
Number of cART regimens prior to baseline				
≤2	299	2 (1 – 5)	20.2	13.8
>2	442	1(0-4)	18	9.9
Regimen prior to baseline				
ABC-containing	90	2(0-4)	16.7	8.9
TDF-containing	96	3(0-7)	29.7	20.8
TAF-containing	376	1 (-1 – 3)	16.2	4
RPV-containing	23	1 (-2 – 2)	19.4	11.9
EFV-containing	36	3 (0 – 7)	21.9	13.6
DTG-containing	197	1(-1-4)	16.8	9.6
Baseline HIV-1 VL (copies/mL)		, ,		
<50	511	1 (-1 – 4)	17.6	6.5
≥50	230	4 (1 – 8)	22.6	23
Baseline CD4 ⁺ count (cells/μL)		, ,		
<350	215	4 (1 – 9)	26.1	24.8
350 – 499	126	2 (0 – 5)	24.6	7.1
≥500	400	1 (-1 – 3)	13.2	7.6

SSA, black Sub-Saharan African; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; cART, combined antiretroviral therapy; ABC, abacavir; TDF, tenofovir disoproxil fumarate; TAF, tenofovir alafenamide; RPV, rilpivirine; EFV, efavirenz; DTG, dolutegravir; VL, viral load.

Change in laboratory parameters

- At week 48, the median (IQR) change from baseline in absolute CD4+ cell count and CD4+/CD8+ ratio was 50 (-50 172)
- cells/ μ L and 0.1 (0 0.2) respectively. • There were no statistically significant differences in the mean change from baseline of lipid parameters and fasting glucose.

Table 6 Change from baseline of laboratory parameters at week 18

lable 6. Change from baseline of laboratory parameters at week 48.						
N	Laboratory parameter	Baseline	Change from baseline, median (IQR)	P-value		
1303	CD4 ⁺ T-cell count (cells/μL)	567 (367 – 793)	50 (-50 – 172)	0.57		
898	CD4+/CD8+ ratio	0.7 (0.4 - 1.1)	0.1(0-0.2)	0.17		
805	Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	181 (154 – 208)	2 (-14 – 20)	0.87		
769	HDL (mg/dL)	48 (39 – 60)	0 (-6 – 6)	0.69		
729	LDL (mg/dL)	104 (82 – 126)	1 (-13 – 12)	0.30		
801	Triglycerides (mg/dL)	110 (75 – 161)	-1 (-35 – 30)	0.97		
720	EDG (mg/dl)	02 (85 _ 102)	2 (-8 _ 11)	0.10		

93 (85 – 102) N, number of participants on treatment, in follow-up, and with available data for the relevant parameter; IQR, interquartile range; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; FPG, fasting plasma glucose

Conclusion

- The data presented in this real-world study show that BIC/FTC/TAF is highly effective at achieving and maintaining virologic suppression in various patient populations, including women, SSA patients, patients aged >50 years, treatment-naïve patients, and those switching from a previous regimen.
- In addition, BIC/FTC/TAF was shown to have a high genetic barrier. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge we describe the first case of treatment emergent resistance to components of the BIC/TAF/FTC regimen.
- Treatment was well tolerated with the most common cause of discontinuation being an adverse event.
- CNS/psychiatric and gastrointestinal toxicity were the most frequently observed adverse events. On-treatment weight gain was minimal and was significantly associated with being on a TDF-based regimen prior to
- baseline and having a baseline CD4+ count <350 cells/μL. These data support the use of BIC/FTC/TAF in clinical practice, both as a first-line and as a switch treatment option, in

a wide variety of PLWH.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank everyone who contributed to this study including the study participants and investigators. This study was supported by a grant from Gilead International.

References

1. Sax PE, Pozniak A, Montes ML, et al. Coformulated bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide versus dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide, for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection (GS-US-380–1490): a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. Lancet 2017;390:2073–82. 2. Stellbrink HJ, Arribas JR, Stephens JL, et al. Co-formulated bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide versus dolutegravir with emtricitabine and tenofovir alafenamide for initial treatment of HIV-1 infection: week 96 results from a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, phase 3, noninferiority trial. Lancet HIV. 2019;6:e364–72. 3. Molina JM, Ward D, Brar I, et al. Switching to fixed-dose bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide from dolutegravir plus abacavir and lamivudine in virologically suppressed adults with HIV-1: 48 week results of a randomised, double-blind, multicentre, active-controlled, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. Lancet HIV 2018;5:e357–65. 4. Daar ES, DeJesus E, Ruane P, et al. Efficacy and safety of switching to fixed-dose bictegravir, emtricitabine, and tenofovir alafenamide from boosted protease inhibitor-based regimens in virologically suppressed adults with HIV-1: 48 week results of a randomised, open-label, multicentre, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. Lancet HIV 2018;5:e347–56.